Mupirocin Susceptibility of Methicillin-Resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* isolated from Nasal Carriers among Health Care Workers in Critical Units at The University of Ilorin Teaching Hospital, Ilorin, North Central Nigeria

Nyamngee, Amase¹, Abdullahi, Mohammed Ndagidzuru¹, Nwabuisi Charles¹, Ikpe, Raphael Terlumun¹*

Abstract

Mupirocin is a Topical Antimicrobial Agent with excellent antistaphylococcal and anti-streptococcal activity. Mupirocin resistance of Methicillin Resistant S. aureus (MRSA) is a reported in some parts of the world. The aim of the study was to determine the susceptibility of Methicillin Resistant Staphylococcus aureus from nasal carriers among Health Care Workers (HCWs) at the University of Ilorin Teaching Hospital to Mupirocin. One hundred and twenty Health Care Workers were selected. The demographic data of each of participants was collected using a standardized questionnaire. Two anterior nares swabs were taken from each of the 120 HCWs selected. Altogether, 240 anterior nares swab samples were collected. Identification of S. areus was done microscopically. Detection of MRSA was done using cefoxitin disc (30µg) diffusion method. Antimicrobial susceptibility Test was done by Kirby-Bauer disc diffusion method using Mupirocin (5 µg), Mupirocin (200µg) and other antibiotics. The E-Test was used to determine the Minimum Inhibitory Concentration (MIC) of Mupirocin. Interpretations was based on Clinical Laboratory Standards Institute. All the MRSA isolates in this study were sensitive to Mupirocin. Of the 240 nasal swabs collected from 120 HCWs, 150 swabs were isolated with S.

*Author for Correspondence: Nyamngee Amase Email: aynamgee2010@yahoo.com; Phone: 0832110683

Nyamngee, Amase', Abdullahi, Mohammed Ndagidzuru', Nwabuisi Charles', Ikpe, Raphael Terlumun'*

^{1.} Department of Medical Microbiology and Parasitology, Faculty of Basic Clinical Sciences, College of Health Sciences, University of Ilorin, Ilorin, Nigeria.

aureus, 75 (50.0%) were MRSA. Of the 120 HCWs, 46 (38.3%) were carriers of MRSA. Potters (71.4%), Nurses (41.9%) and Doctors (37.5%) were the predominant carriers. All antibiotics used, except Mupirocin had some resistance to MRSA. The 100% susceptibility of MRSA to Mupirocin suggests it could be a drug of choice for nasal decolonization of MRSA in at risk populations.

Key Words: Methicillin-Resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA); Nasal Carriers; Mupirocin Susceptiblity; Health Care Workers.

Abrreviations

AST-Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing CLSI -Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute DRU-Dialysis/ Renal Unit E-Test- Epsilometer Test HAI- Hospital Acquired Infections HCWs - Health Care Workers ICU-Intensive Care Unit MDR-Multi Drug Resistant MHA-Mueller-Hinton agar MIC-Minimum Inhibitory Concentration MRSA- Methicillin Resistant *S. aureus* MSSA- Methicillin Susceptible *S. aureus* NICU-Neonatal Intensive Care Unit UITH- University of Ilorin Teaching Hospital

Introduction

Staphylococcus aureus (*S. aureus*) is one of the most common etiological agent of Hospital Acquired Infections (HAIs). *S. aureus* has emerged as one of the most important pathogen because of the burden of diseases it causes and its Multi Drug Resistant (MDR) nature (Chaudhary *et al.*, 2012). The spectrum of diseases caused by

S. aureus varies from mild skin infections to serious and invasive diseases which includes septicemia, pneumonia, endocarditis, deep-seated abscesses and toxinoses including food poisoning and toxic shock syndrome (Obajuluwa *et al.*, 2014).

Methicillin Resistant *S. aureus* (MRSA) has been widely identified as the etiological agent for a wide variety of infections. It has accounted for one third of all *S. aureus* infections globally since 1990s (Samanta *et al.*, 2014). Studies worldwide have documented the prevalence of nasal carriage of *S. aureus* strains, varying from 16.8% to 90% (Creech *et al.*, 2005; Morange-Saussier *et al.*, 2006; Heininger *et al.*, 2007).

Nasal carriage of *S. aureus* is a major risk factor for the spread and transmission of both Community and Hospital Acquired *S. aureus* infections. HCWs who are carriers of MRSA could be important reservoir of transmission through human activities such as coughing, sneezing and personal contact. The infection is more complicated in patients with immunocompromised or surpressed immune system such as patients undergoing dialysis, and patients admitted in the intensive care unit (Levy *et al.*, 2013; Sollid *et al.*, 2014; Totté *et al*; 2016).

Mupirocin is a naturally occurring antibiotic produced by *Pseudomonas fluorescens* that interrupt protein synthesis in *S. areus* by binding competitively to bacterial isoleucyl-tRNA synthetase (IRS). It became known for its potency in eliminating *S. aureus* nasal carriage in the mid1990s (Upton *et al.*, 2003).

Susceptibility of MSSA. *aureus* and MRSA) to Mupirocin has been reported in previous studies. Kaur *et al.*, 2014, reported 99% susceptibility. Other studies have also shown 98%, 93%, 88% and 81% susceptibility of MRSA to Mupirocin (Singh Amit et al., 2013; Daiji *et al.*, 2017; Agarwal *et al.*, 2018). Thus, it is used to eliminate nasal carriage of *S. aureus*, especially MRSA strains in patients and HCWs (Seah *et al.*, 2012). However, other studies have reported mupirocin resistant MRSA (Rudresh *et al.*, 2015).

The study determined the susceptibility of MRSA isolated from nostrils of HCWs at UITH, to Mupirocin using Epsilometer Test (E-Test). Findings from this study will provide baseline information on the susceptibility profile of MRSA to Mupirocin at the UITH.

Materials And Methods

Study Area

The study was carried out at the University of Ilorin Teaching Hospital, Ilorin, Kwara State, North Central Nigeria. It lies between latitude $8^{\circ}30^{1}$ N and longitude $4^{\circ}30^{1}$ E.

Study Design

The study is a cross sectional hospital-based study.

Study Population

The participants recruited for this study were all consenting staff (Doctors, Nurses, Laboratory Technologists, Porters and Cleaners) working in the hospital units involved in the treatment and management of critically ill patients; Intensive Care Unit (ICU), Dialysis/ Renal Unit (DRU), Trauma and Neonatal Intensive Care Unit (NICU). Staff with evidence of infection, antibiotic treatment or prophylaxis were excluded from the study.

Sample Size

All the 120 HCWs in the Critical Units of the University of Ilorin Teaching Hospital (UITH) were sampled

Ethical clearance

Ethical clearance was obtained from the Ethical Review Committee of the University of Ilorin Teaching Hospital, Ilorin, (NHREC/02/05/2010) prior to the study.

Sampling Technique

Non-random sampling, whereby all the consenting staff in the selected units was recruited into the study.

Data Collection

A standardized and pretested questionnaire was used to obtain demographic data such as age, gender, cadre, number of years in service and number of years in the units from each enrolled participant.

Sample Collection

Two nasal swabs were collected from each participant using a sterile cotton swab. The swab was rotated in the anterior nares for 3 seconds. In case of sneezing, resampling was done. After collection, swabs were re-inserted in the transport tube (Tween 80), labelled accordingly and transported to the laboratory for further processing.

Isolation and Identification of S. areus

All the swabs collected were inoculated on Blood Agar and Mannitol Salt Agar and incubated at 35°C for 15 hours. Staphylococcal isolates were identified phenotypically using gram staining, biochemical tests and yellow colonies on Mannitol Salt Agar Medium.

Detection of MRSA

Detection of Methicillin Resistance was done using cefoxitin disc $(30\mu g)$ diffusion method. A lawn culture was made on Mueller-Hinton agar (MHA) with 4% NaCl from suspension of turbidity equivalent to 0.5 MacFarland Standards from overnight growth in nutrient agar and incubated aerobically at 35°C for 24 hours. After incubation, the plates were examined for zone of inhibition. An inhibition zone diameter ≤ 21 mm was reported as Methicillin Resistance and ≥ 22 mm was reported as Methicillin susceptibility according to Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute, 2014).

Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing (AST)

Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing of MRSA isolates was done by Kirby-Bauer disc diffusion method using Gentamycin (10µg),

Erythromycin (15µg), Ciprofloxacin (5µg), Cefuroxime (30µg), Ceftriaxone (30µg), Mupirocin (5µg) and Mupirocin (200µg) (Mast, UK). Interpretation was based on Clinical Laboratory Standards Institute (Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute, 2014).

Four to five morphological identical colonies picked from overnight growth in nutrient agar, were inoculated into 5 ml of peptone water and incubated at 37°C until turbid. The turbidity was compared with 0.5 McFarland Standards. After standardization of turbidity, a sterile cotton swab was used to make a lawn culture on the surface of Mueller Hinton agar. Antibiotic discs were applied by pressing gently using sterile forceps on the surface of media. The antibiotic discs were placed at least 20 mm apart from each other.

Determination of Minimum Inhibitory Concentration (MIC) of Mupirocin

E-test was performed by Kirby Bauer disc-diffusion method as per CLSI guidelines using mupirocin strip (Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute, 2014). Lawn culture was made on the surface of Mueller Hinton agar medium. The strip with mupirocin antibiotic ranges from 0.1-240 μ g/ml was applied by gently pressing using sterile forceps. The plates were then incubated aerobically at 35°C for 24 hours and examined for the minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) thereafter. Isolates with MICs > 512 μ g/ml were considered as high level resistant, those with MICs 8-256 μ g/ml were considered as low level resistant. Isolates with <4 μ g/ml were considered as mupirocin sensitive (Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute, 2014).

Statistical Analysis

Data generated in this study was entered into the computer and analysed using the Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS) software version 20.0 (IBM SPSS, 2015). Statistical significance of the Mupirocin susceptible *Staphylococcus aureus* isolate in association with the nasal carriage was determined using the Chi-square. P-value of <0.05 was considered significant.

Results

A total of 120 HCWs workers with the age range of 20-59 years (mean = 2.14, SD = ± 1.13) were sampled. Twenty five (21.0%) were Males and 95 (79.0%) Females. The mean number of years in service and duration of stay in the present units of the participants were 1.47 and 1.28 respectively. The Profession of the participants were as follows: Doctors (32); Nurses (62); Cleaners (14); Potters (7) and Technologists (5) (Table 1).

Of 120 HCWs 78 (65.0%) were carriers of all forms of *S. aureus,* while MRSA alone was 38.3% prevalent (Table 2).

A total of 240 samples were collected from the 120 HCWs. Of the 240 samples, 150 were isolated with *S. aureus*. Of the 150 *S. aureus* isolates, 75 (50.0%) were Methicillin Susceptible *Staphylococcus aureus* (MSSA) and 75 (50.0%) MRSA) (Table 3).

All MRSA isolated showed some resistance to all antibiotics used except to Mupirocin which recorded 100% sensitivity (Table 4)

Parameter	Frequency (%)			
Age years (mean = 2.24, $SD = \pm 1$.	.12)			
20-29	47 (39.2)			
30-39	33 (27.5)			
40-49	19 (15.8)			
50-59	21 (17.5)			
Gender				
Males	25 (21.0)			
Females	95 (79.0)			
Number of years in Unit (Means =1.28, $SD = \pm 0.45$)				
≤5	87 (72.5)			
>5	33 (27.5)			
Category of workers				
Doctors	32 (26.6)			
Nurses	62 (51.7)			
Potters	7 (5.8)			
Lab. Technologist	5 (4.2)			
Cleaners	14 (11.7)			
Total	120			

Table1: Distribution of participants by Age, Gender, Years in the unit and Profession

Nyamngee, Amase, Abdullahi, Mohammed Ndagidzuru, Nwabuisi Charles, Ikpe, Raphael Terlumun : Mupirocin Susceptibility of... 237

Variable	Number Sampled	No. (%) of	No. (%) of	P-value (χ^2)		
		S. <i>aureus</i> Carrier	MRSA Carrier	S. aureus Carrier	MRSA	
Gender		Carrier	Carrier	0.816	1.008	
Male	25	17 (21.8)	10 (21.7)			
Female	95	61 (78.2)	36 (78.3)			
Total	120	78 (100)	46 (38.3)			
Hospital Unit				0.455	0.097	
NICU	47	34 (72.3)	21 (44.7)			
ICU	38	22 (57.9)	10 (26.3)			
DRU	26	16 (61.5)	9 (34.6)			
Trauma	9	6 (66.7)	6 (66.7)			
Total	120	78 (65.0)	46 (38.3)			
Profession				0.593	1.27	
Doctor	32	18 (56.3)	12 (37.5)			
Nurse	62	43 (69.4)	26 (41.9)			
Potter	7	6 (85.7)	5 (71.4)			
Technologist	5	3 (60.0)	0 (0.0)			
Cleaner	14	8 (57.1)	3 (21.4)			
Total	120	78 (65.0)	46 (38.3)			

Table 2. Distribution of Nasal Carriage by Gender, Hospital Units and Profession

Legend: NICU: Neonatal Intensive Care Unit; ICU: Intensive Care Unit (for adults); DRU:

Dialysis/Renal Unit

Antibiotics	MSSA, N=75 (%)		MRSA, N=75 (%)	P-value	
(µg)	S (%)	R(%)	S (%)	R (%)	(χ ²)
Ciprofloxacin (5)	64 (85.3)	11 (14.7)) 20 (26.3)	55 (73.3)	0.000
Gentamycin (10)	68 (90.7)	7 (9.3)	36 (47.4)	39 (52.0)	0.003
Cefuroxine (30)	55 (73.3)	20 (26.7)) 50 (65.9)	25 (33.3)	0.858
Erythromycin (15)	62 (82.7)	13 (17.3)) 31 (40.8)	44 (58.7)	0.000
Cefriaxone (30)	55 (73.3)	20 (26.7)) 11 (14.5)	64 (85.3)	0.000
Mupirocin (5)	75 (100.0)	0 (0.0)	75 (100.	0) 0 (0.0)	-
Mupirocin (200)	75 (100.0)	0 (0.0)	75 (100.	0) 0 (0.0)	-

Table 3 Antibiotics Susceptibility Profile of MSSA and MRSA Isolates

Legend: MSSA: Methicillin-sensitive *S. aureus*; MRSA: Methicillin-resistant *S. aureus*; S: Sensitive; R: Resistant.

Table 4: Minimum	Inhibitory	Concentration	Range of MRSA	Isolates to	Mupirocin
N=75					

Number of Isolates (%)	MIC Range (µg/ml)	Interpretation
20 (27)	0.064-0.094	Sensitive
25 (33)	0.095-0.25	Sensitive
20 (27)	0.26-0.75	Sensitive
10 (13)	0.76-1.50	Sensitive

Discussion

There is prevalence of MRSA among Health Care workers (HCWs) at University of Ilorin Teaching Hospital (38.3%). However, all the isolated MRSA were susceptible to Mupirocin. Therefore, mupirocin can be used to decolonized MSRA among Health Care workers at the University of Ilorin Teaching Hospital (UITH).

The 38.3% prevalence reported in this study is similar to previous reports where there was 33% and 25.5% prevalence (Nonika *et al.*, 2014; Kulshrestha *et al.*, 2019). A higher prevalence (46.4%) has been reported in Uganda (Nabil *et al.*, 2017). Also, lower (3.4%-15.6%) prevalence has been reported in other studies (Khanal *et al.*, 2015; Agawal *et al.*, 2018; Agricola *et al.*, 2018; Justus *et al.*, 2019). The difference in prevalence of MRSA in various hospitals may be attributed to the inter-laboratory variation in the methods of detection as well as the effectiveness of hospital infection control interventions or abuse of rational antibiotic policy.

All MRSA isolates in this study were susceptible to Mupirocin. This could be due to the fact that there is no intrinsic resistance in the study area because Mupiroin is not in routine use for treatment of MRSA infections at the UITH, where the study was conducted. Whereas Mupicorin has been used in the treatment of skin and soft tissue infections caused by *S. aureus*, and also used in healthcare institute for nasal decolonization of HCWs and patients (Srivalli *et al.*, 2018), there has been report of possible transfer of muPA, a Mupiciron Resistance encoding Gene, from normal flora of the skin to MRSA when Mupiciron is used in therapy (Chase *et al.*, 2011).

A high prevalence (65.0%) of *S. aureus* nasal carriage among HCWs is recorded in this study. The prevalence of 65.0% reported in this study is comparable to that documented in other studies; 64% (Hurdle *et al.*, 2005) and 56.7% (Akujobi *et al.*, 2013). The prevalence is lower than the 85% reported in Yemen (Ayepola *et al.*, 2018). However, the prevalence of nasal carriage rate of *S. aureus* in the present study is higher than the recently reported in the studies conducted by Nabil *et al.*, 2017 and Agarwal *et al.*, 2018 where the *S. aureus* nasal carriage rates were 28.8% and 41.4% respectively. These variations in prevalence may be associated with the variations

in hygiene practices at the different study areas.

Findings have revealed a diverse variation in the different prevalence values for *S. aureus* carriage in different countries; 30% in Argentina (Abdelmonem *et al.*, 2012), 26% in Indian (Boncompain *et al.*, 2017) 31% in Iran and Palestine (Kulshrestha *et al.*, 2019). Prevalence of 18.3 and 28.8% have been reported in Kenya and Ethiopia, (Omuse *et al.*, 2012; Shibabaw *et al.*, 2013).

Differences in the prevalence of nasal carriage of *S. aureus* strains between countries and hospitals could be explained in part by differences in relative abundance of *S. aureus* in the respective study sites, the quality and size of samples and the use of different Microbiological Methods.

Conclusion

The 100% susceptibility of the MRSA to Mupirocin suggests it could be considered for treatment and nasal decolonization of HCWs with MRSA. Also, the high prevalence of MRSA and other *S. areus* among HCWs has a significant implication on public health. Infected HCWs could be a reservoir and link in the transmission of both Community and Hospital Acquired *S. areus* infections.

The high prevalence of MRSA among HCWs in Critical Units as recorded in this study calls for sustained hygiene and safety practices by the HCWs and possible routine decolonization of MRSA and other *S. areus* from the nasal passage of HCWs.

Acknowledgments

We thank the laboratory staff of the Department of Medical Microbiology and Parasitology, and the entire Health Care Workers in all the Critical Units of the University of Ilorin Teaching Hospital for their immense assistance and cooperation throughout this study.

REFERENCES

Abdelmonem, M.O. (2012). Nasal carriage of Staphylococcus

aureus among healthcare workers in Althawra Hospital, Taiz City, Republic of Yemen. *Australian Journal of Basic and Applied Sciences*, 6(7):417-424.

Agarwal, L., Singh, A.K., Sengupta, C. and Agarwal, A. (2018). Nasal Carriage of Methicillin and Mupirocin-Resistant *S. Aureus* among health care workers in a Tertiary Care Hospital. *Journal of Research in Pharmacy Practice*, https//IP: 105.112.64.118.

Agricola, J., Sabrina, J., Moyo, Lillian, N., Mtebe, M., Sima, R., Elizabeth, G. Mkashabani, E., Mmbaga, J., Naboth, M., Said, A. and Eligius, F.L. (2018). Nasal Carriage of Methicillin- R e s i s t a n t *Staphylococcus aureus* among health care workers in Tertiary and Regional Hospitals in Dares Salam, Tanzania. *International Journal of Microbiology*. Volume 2018, Article ID 5058390, 7 pages. https://doi.org/10.1155/2018/5058390.

Akujobi, C.N., Egwuatu, C.C. and Ezeanya, C.C. (2013). Methicillin Resistant Staphylococcus *aureus* (MRSA) among health care workers in a tertiary institution in Nigeria. *Orient Journal of Medicine*, 25:3-4.

Ayepola, O.O., Samson, O.T., Adedayo, A. and Olabode, O. (2018). Nasal Carriage of *Staphylococcus aureus* and associated risk factors among students in a Nigerian University. *Acta Scientific Microbiology*, 1 (2):06-08.

Boncompain, C.A., Suárez, C.A. and Morbidoni, H.R. (2017). *Staphylococcus aureus* Nasal carriage in health care workers: First report from a major public hospital in Argentina. *Revista Argentina Microbiology*, 49 (2):125-31.

Chase, M.J., Kristina, G.H., Sheldon, L.K. and Edward, O.M. (2011): Mupirocin resistance in *Staphylococcus aureus* causing recurrent skin and soft tissue infections in children. *Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy*, 55 (5):2431-33.

Chaudhary, U., Behera, S., Aparna, and Sharma, M.A. (2012): Comparative Study of community and h e a 1 t h c a r e associated methicillin resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* infections. *International Journal of Pharmaceutical Biological Science*, 3 (3):717-22.

Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI) (2014). Performance standards for antimicrobial susceptibility testing; twenty-fourth informational supplement. CLSI Document M100-S24, Wayne, 34(1):74-85

Creech, C.B., Kernodle, D.S., Alsentzer, A., Wilson, C. and Edwards, K.M. (2005). Increasing rates of nasal carriage of methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* in healthy children. *Pediatric Infectious Disease Journal*, 24 (7):617-21.

Daiji, G.M., Mayuri, G. and Ajanta, S. (2017). High-level mupirocin resistance and different phenotypes of MLSB in clinical isolates of *staphylococcus aureus* from a tertiary care hospital. *Indian Journal of Basic and Applied Medical Research*, 6 (4):88-98.

Heininger, U., Datta, F., Gervaix, A., Schaad, U.B., Berger, C., Vaudaux, B, Aebi, C., Hitzler, M., Kind, C., Gnehm, H.E. and Frei, R. (2007). Prevalence of nasal colonization with methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA) in children a multicenter cross-sectional study. *Journal of Pediatric Infectious Diseases*, 26 (6):544-6.

Hurdle, J.G., O Neill, A.J., Mody, L., Chopra, I., Bradley, S.F. (2005). In vivo transfer of high-level mupirocin resistance from *Staphylococcus epidermidis* to methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* associated with failure of mupirocin prophylaxis. *Journal of Antimicrobial and Chemotherapy*, 56 (6):1166-68.

Justus, B.A., Charles, D.K, and Joel, B. (2019). Methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* nasal colonization among healthcare workers at Kampala International University Teaching Hospital, Southwestern Uganda. *Canadian Journal of Infectious Diseases and Medical Microbiology*, Article ID 4157869, 7 pages https://doi.org/10.1155/2019/4157869.

Kaur, D.C. and Narayan, P.A. (2014). Mupirocin resistance in nasal

carriage of *staphylococcus* aureus among healthcare workers of a tertiary care rural hospital. Indian Journal of Critical C a r e Medicine, 18 (11):716-721.

Khanal, R., Sah, P., Lamichhane, P., Lamsal, A., Upadhaya, S. and Pahwa, V.K. (2015). Nasal carriage of methicillin resistant *staphylococcus aureus* among healthcare workers at a tertiary care hospital in western Nepal. *Antimicrobial Resistant and Infection Control*, 4:39.

Kulshrestha, N., Ghatak, T., Gupta, P., Singh, M. and Agarwal, J. (2019). Surveillance of health-care workers for nasal carriage to detect multi drug resistant *Staphylococcus Spp*. in a tertiary care center: an observational study. *Medical Journal of D.Y Patil Vidyapeeth*, 12 (1):39-43.

Levy, P.Y., Ollivier, M., Drancourt, M., Raoult, D. and Argenson, J.N. (2013). Relation between nasal carriage of *Staphylococcus aureus* and surgical site infection in orthopedic surgery: the role of nasal contamination. a systematic literature review and meta-analysis. *Orthopaedics and Traumatology: Surgery and Research*, 99 (6):645-51.

Morange-Saussier, V., Giraudeau, B., Van der Mee N, Lermusiaux, P. and Quentin, R. (2006). Nasal carriage of methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* in vascular surgery. *Annals of V a s c u l a r Surgery*, 20 (6):767-72

Nabil, A., Ali Al Laham, N. and Ayesh, B. M. (2017). Nasal carriage of methicillin resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* among health care workers at al shifa hospital in Gaza Strip. *BMC Infectious Diseases*, 17 (28):1-7.

Nonika, R., Purva, M., Nidhi B., Gunjan, G., Rajrani, D., Bijayini, B. and Mahesh, C.M. (2014). Resistance pattern of mupirocin in methicillin resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* in trauma patients and comparison between disc diffusion and E Test for better detection of resistance in low resource countries. *Journal of Laboratory Physicians*, 6 (2):91-95.

Obajuluwa, A.F. (2014). Characterization of methicillin-resistant *staphylococcus aureus* from orthopaedic patients in Ahmadu Bello University Teaching Hospital, Zaria, Nigeria. *A Dissertation Submitted to the School of Postgraduate Studies, ABU, Zaria, Nigeria.*

Omuse, G., Kariuki, S. and Revathi, G. (2012). Unexpected absence of methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* nasal carriage by healthcare workers in a tertiary hospital in Kenya. *Journal of Hospital Infection*, 80 (1): 71–73.

Rudresh, M.S., Ravi, G.S., Motagi, A., Alex, A.M., Sandhya. P., Navaneeth, B.V. (2015). prevalence of mupirocin resistance among staphylococci, its clinical significance and relationship to clinical use. *Journal of Laboratory Physicians*. 2015 Jul-Dec;7(2):103-7.

Samanta, D. and Elasri, M.O. (2014). The msaABCR operon regulates resistance in vancomycin intermediate *staphylococcus aureus* strains. *Antimicrobial Agents Chemotherapy*, 58 (11):6685-95.

Seah, C., Alexander, D.C., Louie, L., Simor, A., Low, D.E., Longtin, J. and Melano, R.G. (2012). MupB, a new high-level mupirocin resistance mechanism in *Staphylococcus aureus*. *Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy*, 56 (4):1916-20.

Shibabaw, A., Abebe, T. and Mihret, A. (2013). Nasal carriage rate of methicillin resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* among dessie referral hospital health care workers; dessie, northeast ethiopia. *Antimicrobial Resistance & Infection Control*, 2 (25):1-5.

Singh Amit, K., Venkatesh, V. and Singh, M. (2013). Mupirocin resistance in clinical isolates of *Staphylococcus aureus* in a tertiary care hospital set up in north india. *Journal of Medical Research and Health Sciences*, 2 (4): 840847.

Sollid J., Furberg, A.S., Hanssen, A. M. and Johannessen, M. (2014). *Staphylococcus aureus*: determinants of human carriage. *Infection, Genetics and Evolution*, 21:531-41.

244

Srivalli, B., Ekyshwary, S. and Setty, C.R. (2018). Nasal carriage of methicillin resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA) among healthcare workers in a tertiary care hospital. *International Journal of Biology Medicine*, 9 (2):6348-6351.

Totté, J.E., van der Feltz, W.T., Hennekam, M., van Belkum, A., van Zuuren, E.J. and Pasmans S.G. (2016). Prevalence and odds of *Staphylococcus aureus* carriage in atopic dermatitis: A Systematic review and meta-analysis. *British Journal of Dermatology*, 175 (4):687-95.

Upton, A., Lang, S. and Hefferman, H. (2003). Mupirocin and *Staphylococcus aureus*: A recent paradigm of emerging antibiotic resistance. *Journal of Antimicrobial and Chemother*apy, 51 (3):613-7.